(OF THE ACT 1491.)

1534. July 21.

JANET LOGAN against ELIZABETH CAMPBELL and WILLIAM WALLACE.

No 5. During the time of ward, the superior bound to aliment the relict.

Gir ony man havand ane lanchful wife, and ane fon gotten with hir, puttes his fon in fee of all his lands, without ony refervation of hierce to his wife, and it happen thairafter, that he and his fon baith deceis, and thair-throw all his lands fall in the superior's hands, be ressoun of ward and non-entries, in sic fort that the faid wife, have na part nor portion thairof be ressoun of liferent tierce, conjunct fee, or otherwayis; the superior, induring the time of the ward and nonentries, and thairefter the richteous air of the faids landis, efter his entris thairto, may be compellit to give zeerlie to the faid wife, for her fustentation, ane ressonabill deutie of the maillis of the saidis lands, to leive upon, ester the modication and descretion of the judge.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 28. Balfour, (Husband and Wife) p. 95.

1619.

WHYTFOOD against CALDERWOOD.

No 6. An heir found entitled to aliment from wardatars and liferenters, although he had lands of his own at his father's death. afterwards comprised for his father's

debrs.

Found, That the heir ought to have modification against the wardatars and liferenters, albeit he have lands and rents of his own, the time of his father's decease, because fincesyne comprysed for his father's debt; and there it was replied, that the comprising, was led by the tutor upon his own charges; it was found that he might lawfully so do, because it was for his own debt, the pupil having other tutors.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 29. Hope, (De Heredibus et Hereditatibus) v. 2. folio 130.

£622. March 16. HEIR of Milnton against CALDERWOOD.

THE heir of Milnton having obtained a decreet of modification to be paid for his aliment, by the fuperiors and possessor of his ward-lands, during his minority; George Calderwood suspended; in discussing whereof the Lords found, That so long as he had sufficient free rent of blench, or free lands or teinds, he could have no action for modification from the wardatars. Next they found, That the yearly profit of the lime quarry could not be counted in the rental, unless the minor and his tutors would renounce all action they could move against him, for felling of the limeftone to ftrangers; as also that he would not be charged for any more for his part, than according to the proportion of the free rent of the lands holden of him, and of the ward lands holden of the King.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 29. Haddington, MS. No. 2617.

** This same was found, in the case Buchannan against Stewart, 1st December 1534, from Balfour. See Superior.

The modification of an ali-

No.7.

ment was refufed to a vaffal of wardlands, because he had other rents; and the fuperior was found only bound to pay proportionally, to sapply the defect of the a-

ament.