1527. March 27.

Brown against Brown.

No 3.

Na schip or boit pertening to ony man the time of his deceis, may be askit or cravit be his air as airschip, be ressoun the samin on na wayis pertenis to him in respect of airschip.

Balfour, (AIRSCHIP GUDIS.) No 1. p. 235.

No. 4.

1575. November 10. LORD DRUMMOND against The LADY.

The Lord Drummond persewit his mother for the hail tapestrie that hang in Drummond, as airship guids, and therefore to be deliverit to him as aire to his father; at the least, the tapestrie that hang in the best house; notwithstanding the Lords fand that he should have but ane piece onlie of the tapestrie, and that the best; and siklike the best pavilion, and no more, to pertain to him as airship.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 364. Colvill, MS. p. 248.

## \*\*\* Balfour reports the same case:

Gir he that is deceist have divers and sindrie tapestries, the air may not acclame as airship, bot allenarlie the best piece of the samin: And siklike gif the deid have divers and sindrie pavilions pertening to him the time of his deceis, the best pavilion allenarlie pertenis to the air.

Balfour, (Airship Guidis.) No 1. p. 235.

1609. November 4.

BOYD against Russel.

No. 5. Heirship found to comprehend six golden buttons; and, if the defunct had any number of oxen. it was found that the heirship was a yoke: Found also, that the heir would get his heirship out of steelbow oxen, if the defunct had no other oxen.

In an action pursued by Mr Robert Boyd, advocate, against his mother, and Mr John Russel, now her spouse, for delivery to him of his heirship goods, the Lords found, that his summons was relevant, claiming six golden buttons which his father had upon his skin coat; farther, it was found, that the heir would get a yoke of oxen if the defunct had eight. Thereafter, it was alleged by the defenders, That they ought to be assoilzed from the heirship oxen, because the defunct had no labouring in his own hand, nor oxen in his possession at the time of his decease, and therefore the heir could not fall to any heirship oxen. It was answered, That he had set his mains in tack, with eight oxen in steelbow; which oxen pertained to him, and the heir fell to two of them in heirship; and, therefore, his mother having intromitted with them after his father's decease, should make two of them furthcoming to the pursuer as heirship.—The Lords, reasoning upon that matter of steelbow oxen, considered that oxen set