

hibition could not be extended but to the lands lying within the sheriffdom where the inhibition is used, and that the same is not extended against the inhabitants of the sheriffdom where the inhibition is used, but that they might buy and acquire lands lying in their sheriffdoms, or otherwise acquire bonds for sums of money, and thereafter comprise for the sums contained in these bonds.

No 11.

*Kerse, MS. fol. 60.*

1622. January 18. METHVEN *against* AN APPARENT HEIR.

No 12.

A SUPPLICATION being given in by Methven, who was cautioner for a tutor-dative, craving inhibition against the apparent heir of him who was bound for his relief of that caution; the bill was also refused by the LORDS, because they thought according to the form which is in use, that the same could not be granted against an apparent heir; as no action, charge, or execution could be used, or sought against him, *hoc nomine*, as apparent heir; until such time as he might be constituted such a person as might represent the defunct, who was bound, or charged to enter heir to him.

*Durie, p. 10.*

\* \* \* The contrary was decided, No 4. p. 6942.

1625. January 11. HAMILTON *against* KIRKPATRICK.

No 13.

THE LORDS would not grant an inhibition to the wife against her husband upon a contract of marriage. Inhibition is personal and binds not the heirs.

*Spettiswood, (INHIBITION.) p. 175.*

\* \* \* See Durie's report of this case, No 254. p. 6048. *voce* HUSBAND & WIFE.

1627. January 24. LORD ERSKINE *against* ERSKINE'S WIFE.

No 14.

THE warrant of the inhibition is the letters directed upon the Lords deliverance, which must be kept in all points precisely, and executed accordingly; therefore, in an action between my Lord Erskine and one Erskine's Wife, (Glossburn's sister) she having intented reduction of a comprising of a house of her husband's in the Canongate, *ex capite inhibitionis*; it was *excepted*, That the inhibition was not duly served, in so far as it was not served at the market-cross of Edinburgh, as the letters ordained.—*Replied*, The ordinance was in respect that Sir James Erskine, (the party inhibited) was out of the country first, but afterwards being come into it, the party did inhibit him personally, which was a better way to notify it to him; for as for the lieges they were certiorated by