said action till they made the tenant's procurators foreseen thereof; whereupon the advocate, being examined ex officio, the Lord Yester's procurator's man deponed, That once he made a promise; but the decreet was obtained more nor two years thereafter. The Lords would not repone the defender to his oath, but ordained the Lord Yester to give his oath upon the condition alleged by him.

Page 57.

1629. February 13.

THE father's deed does not infer contravention against the son.

Page 31.

1629. February 14. ROBERT FARQUHAR against WALLACE.

A PARTY being pursued for exhibition of a bond made to him that pursues for the same, who alleges, in his summons, that he delivered the said bond to the defender;—it was answered, That this delivery cannot be proven but scripto vel juramento partis. The pursuer replies, That the delivery of a writ may as well be proven by witnesses, as the having of the same. The Lords sustained the probation prout de jure.

Page 70.

1629. February 14. Grant against Balvenie.

THE receiving of feu-duties, or accepting of a resignation from a person that was not infeft himself, cannot compel the superior to receive or enter one of his vassals, that had comprised the land from him that had been in use to pay the feu-duty of the land, and who had resigned his right in the superior's hands, but had never been infeft.

Page 224.

1629. February 15. against — against

The young Lady Hallyburtoune having appointed 500 merks termly for her ali-N n