

1629. *March 19.* AULD *against* SMITH.

A COMPRISING sustained, which is deduced upon a bond bearing annual-rent.

*Page 36.*

---

1629. *March 19.* NEWARK *against* HERBERTSON.

DILIGENCE being raised against some persons, who were not known to be out of the country when the diligence was raised, the raiser of the incident meant himself to the Lords, to grant him a new diligence against them. The Lords would not grant new diligence, but gave him a competent day to conclude his diligence.

*Page 52.*

---

1629. *March 26.* LADY AYTON *against* The HEIRS of MR ALEXANDER HOME.

AN ordinary action, intended for exhibition of writs, cannot supply the place of an incident taken to prove an exception. But, if the said action of exhibition be used for diligence *in termino probatorio*, the Lords repel the same, and will circumduce the term, except, out of favour, they grant a day to the excipient to conclude his probation.

*Page 52.*

---

1629. *March 26.* The TOWN of HADDINGTON *against* The LAIRD of LAMINGTON.

IN an improbation, when the defender's incident is run out, and, at last, he refers the having of the writs to the pursuers' oath; and after the pursuer has deponed, the defender craves a new day for production;—the Lords refuse any more days.

*Page 96.*

---

1629. *June 20.* The LAIRD of HADDO, Petitioner.

THE Laird of Haddo gave in a bill to the Lords, showing, that his curators, the Laird of Ludquhairn, &c. when they received his evidents from the Laird of Lesmore, his tutor, put them in the chest, wherein they now were, in Master Rodger Mowat's house and custody; and seeing he had necessary ado with some of