of Highlanders and Islesmen, rebels, together with an intimation to be made to his procurators; Jam. VI, Parl. ——.

Page 63.

1649. November 9. The CREDITORS of JOHN DAVIDSONE against JAMES HARRIS.

There being a certain sum of money deponed, the time of the sickness, in the hands of umquhile John Davidsone, by one Harris, in the Cannongate, the said John lent it out, as was alleged, to the Laird of Lugtone; and the creditors of the said John pursuing his relict for exhibition of the bond, the said James Harris was admitted, for his interest, to seek delivery of the same to him, he proving has fuisse ipsas species depositas. Which the Lords did; in favour of depositum, in such a perilous time.

Page 64.

1649. November 17. LAIRD and LADY RENTONE against George Stewart.

In the action of suspension and reduction at the Laird and Lady Rentone their instance against George Stewart, pursevant, the reason [was] That the bond given by the lady, while she was in familia paterna, without the consent of her father, as lawful administrator to her, should be null, as that bond which is given by a minor who had curators, if it be given without their consent. The Lords found it relevant, notwithstanding it was alleged, That the father's administration is not of that authority that a curator's is, since the curator is taken sworn de fideli administratione, and likewise sets caution. Item, That, by our practique and custom in matters of consequence, curators may be given vivo patre by them who gift any thing to a pupil or a minor, or to secure any bargain with a pupil or minor; and also, if a father be to redeem his own gift from a minor: et curatorem habenti curator non datur, nisi prior sit remotus; because reipublicæ interest that the king's dispositions and deeds of any part of the principality, during the prince his less age, as administrator, should stand good and lawful. And, to descend to private men, it is very usual that the father does things as lawfully and lovingly for his bairn as any curator can do; and, as he will defend him from injury and wrong in body, so he will keep him from harm and skaith through deception and fraud in matters of subscribing bond or discharge: as is in L. Cum furiosus 7 cod. de Cur. Fur. Quis enim talis affectus extraneus inveniatur ut vincat paternum? Vel, cui alii credendum est res liberorum gubernandas, parentibus derelictis? Et pater videtur a Deo tutor naturalis datus; ab homine vero, testamentarius; a lege, tutor legitimus; et a patre patriæ, dativus. Nec datur restitutio in integrum contra patrem, propter debitam reverentiam.—L. 2, Cod. qui et adversus quos. For the father gives a tutor testamentar, who is not obliged to find caution de fideli administratione, secutus ejus fidem ut suam. But it would be here provided against the father, who may be prodigus; and suppose he be not so declared, that, in the case he contract so much debt that his estate be all