ing their allegeances, that they might know clearly the matter of fact, and if there was any fault, before sentence.

Page 59.

1669. June 29. Earl of Kinghorn against The Tenants of Dronlaw.

The Lords found the defence relevant, and that there was a necessity of a

new warning before the tenants could be decerned to remove.

Page 60.

1669. July 3. Anna Blair and her Spouse against Doctor Forbes.

The said Anna, pursuing for mails and duties of her conjunct-fee lands, to which she was provided;—It was alleged for the Doctor, who was infeft upon a comprising led for his wife's portion, That the pursuer's seasine was null, not being registrate. It was replied, That it was given upon a contract of marriage, clad with many years' possession, and acknowledged by the defenders, in so far as, in a double poinding, they had taken a decreet with the burden of her liferent.

The Lords sustained the reply; albeit the defender was a singular successor, and founded his allegeance upon the Act of Parliament.

Page 61.

1669. July 3.

Betwixt these same Parties.

THE Doctor and his Wife, pursuing the Mother and her Husband, for aliment, and referring the same to her oath, she did depone qualificate, that, as she was alimented, so it was upon an agreement to pay so much victual weekly.

The Lords sustained the quality; notwithstanding it was alleged, that it resolved in an allegeance that ought to be proven otherwise than by the deponent's own oath.

Page 61.

1669. July 3. George Stewart of Aldhame against The Tutor of Grant.

THE tutor being charged upon a bond granted to the said George for £300