1670. July 13. The Countess of Dundle against The Town of Dundle.

THE Countess, as donatrix to her husband's liferent escheat, (who was superior to sundry lands holden by the town of Dundie of him,) pursues the town and magistrates thereof, to pay to her the feu-duties of these lands, with the mails and duties of the mill of Baldovin, wherein her husband was infeft.

ALLEGED,—They offered to prove they were infeft, and seven years in possession of that mill, by virtue of their right before the intenting of this cause.

Answered,—They could not be heard, unless they condescend by whom they are infeft, for if any such infeftment be, it is offered to be proven the same is only subaltern, and proceeding from my Lord Dundie's own predecessors.

Replied,—They needed not condescend; because it being notour that the whole evidents of that town were burnt, and the town having made their application to the Parliament, they ordained in all pursuits, either for or against Dundie, it should be lawful to them to make up their writs so lost by sic adminicles as they could find, without putting them to the necessity of proving their tenors.

They are ordained before answer to produce their act of Parliament, and hinc inde to produce all such writs as may tend to clear the cause.

Act. Lermonth and Lockhart.

1670.

Alt. Dinmuire and M'Keinzie. Advocates' MS. No. 74, folio 82.

1670. July 14. Wishart against Children of Mr. Wm. Arthur and Leyes.

In this cause it was debated, (it being a declarator of the extinction of an apprissing by intromission, at the instance of one who had a disposition of the lands apprised,) 1mo, that a naked disposition, whereupon no infeftment had followed, could never give the pursuer an interest to annul the apprising in the manner libelled, unless he had been per expressum assigned to the legal reversion, and that assignation had been registrate conform to the act of Parliament in anno 1617. 2do, That a naked disposition without infeftment, could give a party no right to call for the mails and duties of lands. 3tio, That a disposition, until seasine follow thereon, which is made public by its registration, is no way a public right against a compriser, or any other third party.

Act. Home. Alt. Maxwell.

Advocates' MS. No. 75, folio 82.

1670. July 15. The Earl of Winton against James Inglis.

This James having been the Earl's chamberlain, and about a year ago having ended counts with my Lord, he was found my Lord's debtor in L.10,000, for which he grants bond to the Earl; this bond is lost someway by sloth. The Earl by his commissioners, whom in his absence of the country he left to oversee his