Town required the assent of the College of Justice was, in respect they had the Town, by contract in 1669, obliged never to seek a renovation or continuation of that gift.

Vol. I. Page 112.

1680. July 31. WILLIAM MURRAY, Advocate, Petitioner.

MR William Murray, advocate, having offered to discover, ad levamen et exonerationem conscientiæ, that he knew his brother, the tutor of Stormonth, had bribed and suborned witnesses in Annandale and Sir Robert Crichton's affair; the Lords, in regard he was not able to come abroad through indisposition of his feet, ordained three or four of their own number to go to his chamber, and examine him ex officio thereupon: but thereafter John Murray, the tutor, having assured the Lords that he was hypochondriac and melancholy, they appointed him first to be visited as to the condition of his health and temper of his body; and he was found to be furious and deeply melancholic.

Vol. I. Page 112.

1680. October. Seaton of Cariston's Daughters against Their Father and his Creditors.

Seaton of Cariston's two Daughters raised a libel for aliment against their Father and his Creditors. The Lords considering that they were come to age, and that their father offered to entertain them in his own family, (though they affirmed that he had used them most barbarously,) referred them to the Judge Ordinary, and recommended to them to go home and stay in their father's house.

Vol. I. Page 113.

1678 and 1680. Cochrane of Ruchsoles against Enterkin, Carleton, and Others.

1678. February 2.—There is a reduction and improbation pursued by Cochrane of Ruchsoles, as heir to his father, (who had apprised some lands in Galloway and served inhibition,) against Cathcart of Carleton, Enterkin, Sir John Cochrane of Ochiltrie, Hugh Wallace, writer to the signet, and the other possessors of the apprised lands.—See thir parties, 6th November 1680. Vide supra, numero 681, [page 207.]

Alleged,—They would not take a term to produce to him; because they offered to prove they stood infeft publicly and in possession, and, he nor his father not being infeft, he could not force them to produce their rights whereon infeftment had followed.

Answered, 1mo,—Ought to be repelled, because a dilator not verified. 2do, He had charged the superiors with horning to infeft him, viz. my Lord Cath-