beside the lands disponed to his son. 2do, That the son had paid an adequate onerous price to his father's creditors; and, probation being led, both on the worth of the lands and the price paid, the Lords found the moveable estate proven was not equivalent, so as they might have satisfied the sums pursued for; and that the mains, never being set in tenantry, the rent of them was not clearly proven. Therefore ordained the defender to depone anent the true yearly sowing and holding of the mains, and either of them to adduce probation what a roum of that sowing might be set for in that part of the country, and that betwixt and the 16th of January next. And, ex officio, ordained the creditors to depone what sums the defender paid to them when he acquired their rights.

Against this he gave in a bill, Alleging, He ought to have allowance, in the computation of the whole sums which might have been exacted from his father; and that the clause in the 62d Act, Parl. 1661, making it redeemable from apparent heirs for the sums they gave, meets not here, especially seeing the said Acts, 1621 and 1661, are correctory; and he offers to depone the favours and eases he got were merely on his own account, and he never pactioned to give any benefit of it to his father.

Vol. I. Page 125.

1681. January 11. Janet Jack against The Marquis of Douglas.

Janet Jack having charged the Marquis of Douglas on his bond for 50,000 merks; he suspends, that it was granted ob turpem adulterii causam, and without all onerous causes.

The Lords ordained her to depone on the onerosity of the cause for which it was granted. Law says, ubi utriusque versatur turpitudo potior est conditio possidentis. But, upon a representation by the Marquis, who was unwilling that her oath should be taken on it, the Lords inclined to examine the witnesses in the bond what were the onerous causes thereof.

Vol. I. Page 125.

1681. January 13. Doctor Robert Trotter against Thomas Garvie.

Doctor Robert Trotter's action against Thomas Garvie being reported; the Lords, before answer, ordained Patrick Telfer, the pronouncer of the decreetarbitral, to be examined, upon oath, what evidences were given to him that the discharges produced were different, or that Thomas Garvy did consent or acquiesce thereto.

Vol. I. Page 126.

1681. January 13. Syme against Black.

In a suspension, Syme against Black, the Lords reponde Syme against a decreet of spuilyie pronounced by the bailie of the regality of Lanerk, upon probation in absence; because, though he had focum et larem there, viz. his wife