between the shires of Linlithgow and Midlothian, and that both their infeftments bore only the fishings lying in their respective shires; therefore, the Lords found each of them had a right of fishing on their own side of the water, to the middle stream; and that they were compatible, and taxed by the restrictive boundings of the two shires; and that Dalmeny had not the sole right of fishing, exclusive of Cramond, as he contended, nor had he prescribed it by forty years' possession; but that there had been a promiscuous fishing, though Dalmeny's author's acts of possession were stronger, some of his witnesses deponing they knew Barnbougle debar all others, and had the fishing set for fifty or sixty merks yearly; and that, though others fished there, it was precarious, and by tolerance. But the Lords thought it more equal to divide it, in manner foresaid.

Cramond had produced an older right, when the cause was first reported, and the act granted; but it was lost and fallen by,—viz. a charter of erection from James II. to Alexander Lauder, then Bishop of Dunkeld, in 1454, erecting the lands of Cramond, Aberlady, &c. into a barony, and gifting them to the see of Dunkeld; and which was proven by Spotiswood's History, page 100. But histories are not authentic and probative against men's rights; though, in re antiqua, et in ambiguis, they may be adduced as adminicles, even as we use marchstones and sepulchral inscriptions. On the 28th current, Dalmeny presented an appeal against this interlocutor, because the Lords had found his right of fishing was not exclusive of Sir John's.

Vol. I. Page 665.

1695. February 5. Captain Young against Colonel Wauchop's nearest of Kin.

Halcraig reported Captain Young against the nearest of kin of Colonel Wauchop. This was a competition for the sum of 6000 merks, between the Captain, as executor to his wife, who was the Colonel's sister and assignee, and the Colonel's other sisters. The first point was, Whether he, as being executor decerned, and having found caution, ought to have up the money; or, if they might not crave more sufficient caution. Severals thought he was in titulo, having the office decerned to him; and they could not hinder. Others considered how slightly the commissaries bestowed it upon any who sought it, and were not exact in their caution, for which the clerks were answerable.

The Lords proceeded, therefore, to determine the case, and thought this assignation given by the Colonel, reserving a power to alter, needed no delivery; and that Captain Young's letter to my Lord Edmonston implied a trust left him by the Colonel, in keeping the assignation, but no depositation, these two being very different; and that he could not prejudge his child's right; and, therefore, Edmonston's oath could not be taken as to the terms and conditions of the depositation; and found, the Colonel's letter did not import a revocation or alteration of the assignation; and so preferred the Captain.

Vol. I. Page 665.