## ARRESTMENT.

1735. January 16. THOMAS GRANT against JAMES WATT.

THOUGH an assignation be not intimated, the assignee creditor may arrest, and his arrestment is preferable to a subsequent translation by that assignee, though his debt be constituted only by a decreet holding that assignee as confessed; but an arrestment being on a dependance, if the citation be null, and so no dependance, the arrestment falls in consequence.

No. 1.

June 10. 1735.

ROBERT ORR AND JOHN SIBBALD against HARVIE.

A CREDITOR upon a forfeited estate, whose debt was affirmed, having In whose hands an failed, one of his creditors, Sibbald, arrested in the Court of Exchequer, and arrestment ought in the Receiver-General's hands; but, before the price of the forfeited estate came into the Receiver's hands, Harvie arrested only in the Receiver's hands, and that after the price came into his hands. A third, Orr, got an assignation, and intimated it in the Exchequer, and to the Receiver and his depute, but before the price came in his hands. The Lords prefered Harvie the last arrester, to Sibbald the first; but they preferred Orr the assignee to Harvie the last arrester. See Competition.

No. 2. to be laid.

English against Wilson. 1735. June 19.

ARRESTMENT on a horning prefered to a prior arrestment on an admiral. precept.