ARBITRATION.

1735. February 12. Skenes against Creditors of Sir D. Thoirs.

No. 1.

SUBMISSION not being signed by some parties concerned till producing their interests before the arbiters, not sufficient to bind them to, or to support a decreet-arbitral against them.

1736. December 10. SIMPSON against Mr. PATRICK STRACHAN.

No. 2.

No. 3,

An arbiter having pronounced his decreet, and put it in the hands of the clerk of the submission, cannot, after the submission is expired, cancel or alter it, but it may be recovered by exhibition.

1738. January 12, 17. BLAIR against GIBB.

Decreet-Arbitral being pronounced, but not delivered till the parties Gratuity to arbitrould gratify the arbiters for their pains; Blair, one of the parties, who knew nothing of the contents of the decreet, was willing to join with Gibb to gratify them, but not to give what they demanded; therefore Gibb, the other party, paid them, and thereupon the arbiters gave the decreet to be registrated. The Lords found this was corruption, and reduced the decreet, and ordered the money paid to the arbiters not to be restored to Gibb, (because he was particeps of the corruption,) but to be put into the poor's box; and did not go to a higher censure, because the arbiters seemed to have

acted in the belief that it was lawful. (See Dict. No. 67. p. 664.)