PATRONAGE.

1736. June 24. PATRICK MAXTON against MONCRIEFF Of Reedie.

No. 1.

The Lords having found the Court competent to judge of the legality of the admission of Ministers ad hunc effectum, to determine whether they are entitled to their stipends, 13th February 1735; they found that a Presbytery refusing a presentation duly presented in favours of a qualified person, against which there lies no legal objection, and admitting another person as Minister, the Patron has right to retain the stipend, 14th February 1735, and in the end of February adhered. And they repelled the objection, that the right of patronage was not produced before the commission debito tempore before the settlement, in which commission the Presbytery was a party, 20th February, 2d July 1735. But they sustained the objection to the Lady Newark, and Mr Moncrieff's rights of patronage at the time of the presentation, and during the vacancy; and therefore repelled the reasons of suspension, and found the letters orderly proceeded for the stipend. (See Dict. No. 9. p. 9909.)

1747. June 4.

DUKE of MONTROSE against The HERITORS of Strathblairn.

No. 2.

THE Provost of the Collegiate Kirk of Dunbarton being thereby Patron of sundry kirks, Enterkin, as Provost, upon the act 1690, got the 600 merks from the heritors, and renounced the patronage of the parish of Strathblairn; but the Duke of Montrose having purchased the patronage of that Provostry as well as of the parish of Strathblairn from Queen Anne, who purchased from the Duke of Richmond, pursued declarator of his right of presentation, which we sustained and reduced the foresaid renunciation by Enterkin

1748. November 19.

MR CHARLES COCHRAN, Patron of the Parish of Culross.

So. 3.

A PRESBYTERY in contempt of a presentation being about proceeding to settle another Minister, and having even appointed a day for his ordination,

