bear annualrent, which it did not before; it was found that these annualrents were not secured by the arrestment, and that the arrester could be preferred only for his principal sum. *Referente* Justice Clerk for advice. No. 28.

1752 December 12. FAICHNEY against JOHN CAMPBELL

No. 29.

ARRESTMENT in the hands of the debtor's trustees on his estate, whereon decreet of forthcoming followed both against him and them for a debt due by him by a promissory note, granted by him to the common debtor, both then residing in London, was found null, in competition with one having right to the note by a blank indorsation, the date whereof did not appear; and the indorsee preferred.

1753. July 28. ELIZABETH BANNERMAN against JOHN SALMOND.

No. 30.

Letters of loosing arrestment do not now need to be intimated to the arrester, as was necessary before the act of Parliament 1617, although the style of the letters still continue the same—found unanimously.

See Swinton against Swinton, 8th December 1738, voce ALIMENT.

See Creditors of Cave against Murray, 21st January 1736, vocc Heritable and Moveable.

See M'Gill against Creditors of Naughton, 14th February 1737, voce Competition.

See Neilson against Russel, 13th February 1734, voce Bill of Ex-

See M'Leod against M'Donald, 20th February 1735, voce Consignation...

See Notes.