The point occurred also in the year 1766, in passing a bill of advocation,

KNEAN and SAYLE against GRAHAM,

before Lord Elliock. The advocation was past, but never afterwards appeared in the Inner-House.

It occurred also, in a complaint against John Blacklaw, at the instance of his creditors; but the complaint, on account of an informality, his Majesty's advocate not being a party to it, was not insisted in.

It occurred again,

1775. November 3. M'Lure and M'Cree, Indorsees from Ferguson, against Paterson and Others,

but not indorsees for value. The Lords ordered memorials, and afterwards a hearing in presence, which came to be advised 26th February 1779. "The Lords, after long reasoning, found that no action lay upon the note in question; therefore they sustained the defence, and assoilyied." It was a note for £272, as the price of a certain number of casks of brandy, delivered out of a smuggling vessel in a bay in Galloway, to the defenders, who brought boats to the ship-side for that purpose. This was admitted, and indeed was proved; so that both the pursuers and defenders were clearly guilty of smuggling,—and hard to say which was most. The Lords decided on the case as it stood; refused a reclaiming petition, without answers; and adhered, 3d November 1775.

DALLAS against MACKAILLE.

MRS Dallas having undertaken to procure a wife for M'Kaill's son, of a certain description; old Mackaille, the father, granted a missive for money, payable to Dallas's husband. A wife being provided, and young Mackaille being married, action was brought upon the missive; but, upon report of Lord Kaimes, the Lords found, "That the office undertaken by Dallas was contrabonos mores, and that no action lay upon the missive, and found expenses due." And to this they adhered on a reclaiming petition.

1779. July 27. SIBBALD against MR WILLIAM WALLACE.

SIBBALD, a partner in a house at Gottenburgh, had been applied to, by Betty Irvine, a shopkeeper in Edinburgh, for goods, upon credit, which he delivered without security. She applied to Mr William Wallace, who cautioned her