THE LORDS repelled the objections to the bond, and found the younger children entitled to be ranked on their interest produced in their due course, conform to the date of their infeftment.'

No 100.

No 101.

A provision to a wife, by

antenuptial

contract, ineffectual fo

orbitant.

For the Creditors, Lockhart.

Alt. Ferguson.

Clerk, Kilpatrick.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 49. Fac. Col. No 220. p. 404.

D. Rae.

JANET DUNCAN against JOHN SLOSS. 1785. February 8.

By an antenuptial contract of marriage, John Sloss settled a large jointure on Janet Duncan his second wife; for payment of which, after his death, she sued his heir, a child of the first marriage, on whose provisions it encroached.

Pleaded for the defender: The jointure in question is exorbitant, being greatly disproportionate to the means of the granter; and therefore, quodd the excess beyond its rational or just amount, it is to be postponed to the claims, as well of his children by the prior matriage, as of his other creditors; Gosford; Stair; 10th January 1676, Stansfield contra Brown, No 73. p. 954.; Kilkerran, voce Bank-RUPT, 26th July 1744, Creditors of Sir James Campbell, No 103. p. 988. Fac. Col. p. 225. 12th July 1758, Noble contra Dewar, voce Tailzie; Erskine, p. 564. Fountainhall, 23d March 1683, Gartshore contra Brand, No 102. infra.

Answered: The authorities quoted relate to postnuptial contracts alone; for it has not yet been found, that provisions to wives, contracted for by antenuptial deeds, are not onerous debts in the fullest sense.

The cause was reported by the Lord Ordinary; when

The Court restricted the jointure in question to a rational extent, in the same manner as if it had been granted in a polinuptial contract.

Lord Reporter, Gardenston.

Aft. W. Craig. - Alt. M. Roft. Clerk, Home.

Fot. Dic. v. 3. p. 50. Fac. Col. No 197. p. 310.

Stewart.

## SECT. XIII.

The Onerofity of Provisions made in Postnupulal Contracts.

1683. March 23.

GARTASHORE against BRAND.

ALEXANDER GARTSHORE, late bailie in Edinburgh, and Elizabeth Brand, relict of Gavin Weir, competing: The Lords, on Castlehill and Pitmedden's report, 6 K Vol. III.

A provision whether by

No 102.