as settling that a judicial sale could not take place whilst the Court were not sitting.

. The Court, holding that delay would cause additional expense, and that the only reason for such a sale not taking place during a recess of the Court was one of expediency, gave a special warrant for the sale taking place on the day fixed before the Lord Ordinary on the Bills.

Agent for Petitioner-Andrew Hill, W.S.

Tuesday, January 25.

FERGUSSON v. HIS NEXT OF KIN.

Curator—Deed of Nomination—Minor. Deed of nomination by a minor of a curator resident in England, who offered to find caution to any amount required, and to give any necessary guarantee for his appearance in Court when called on, and to prorogate its jurisdiction for the purposes of the curatory, refused, in respect of no necessity shewn.

Robert Cutlar Fergusson of Craigdarroch, in the the county of Dumfries, and of Orroland in the stewartry of Kirkcudbright, sought to have Major Dormer, residing at Craigdarroch House in the county of Dumfries, and at No. 6 Prince of Wales' Terrace, Kensington, London, decerned curator to him. On the maternal side, the nearest of kin to the pursuer, major and resident in Scotland, were his mother, now the wife of Major Dormer, and her brothers, Colonel Sir Archi-bald Alison, Bart., and Major Alison; while on the paternal side, the only next of kin who was major, was, so far as the pursuer knew, Madame Forçade de la Roquette, wife of the French Minister of the Interior, and resident in France. A deed of nomination in favour of Major Dormer was executed; but as the Lord Ordinary (BARCAPLE) expressed doubts as to the approval of the deed of nomination, Major Dormer offered to bind himself to find caution in Scotland to any amount which might be required, and also to give such guarantee as might be deemed necessary that he would appear in Court to answer for his conduct as curator, or to find additional caution at any time that he might be called on to do so, and to submit himself to, and to prorogate the jurisdiction of, the Court of Session for the purposes of the curatory, and to assign a place in Scotland at which he might be cited. The Lord Ordinary reported the case to the Inner

Solicitor-General and Orr Paterson quoted the case of Lord Macdonald v. His Next of Kin, June 11. 1864, as a precedent for approving of the nomination.

The Court held that no such necessity had been shewn as would justify the appointment of a curator resident in England.

Agents for Pursuer-H. & A. Inglis, W.S.

Tuesday, January 25.

OGILVIE'S TRUSTEES & OTHERS v. MILLER.

Revocation—Residue—Intestacy—Expenses. By his trust-disposition a trustee appointed his widow residuary legatee. By a codicil he revoked certain bequests and made his brother James residuary legatee if he survived him and his widow. James survived the trustee, but not the

widow. Held that the bequest of the residue to the widow was not revoked, that there was no intestacy as regarded it, and that the unsuccessful claimant must bear the expenses of the case.

This was a special case presented by the trustees of the late Major General Ogilvie and some of the beneficiaries under his trust-deed to have their rights determined. The trust-deed conveyed all the truster's heritable and moveable estate to trustees for certain purposes. Mrs Helen Allan or Ogilvie, his wife, was, in the event of her surviving him, to get all his household furniture, bed and table linen, plate, books, and wines and spirits in his cellars, and a liferent of his whole trust-estate. By the third purpose the truster directed, on the death of Mrs Ogilvie, if she survived him, that the trust-estate should be realised, and certain legacies paid to his half-sisters Isobel and Margaret, and Barbara, the daughter of his half-brother Thomas; £3000 in Bank of Bengal stock to his half-brother James; and the lands of Blackford conveyed to his half-brother Archibald: it being declared that if the trust-estate was not sufficient to meet the three first legacies, certain specific diminutions were to be made on the two last, or the trustestate divided in a different manner as therein specified; but if after payment of the legacies there was any residue it was to go to the widow, to be disposed of by her as she might think proper. It was also declared that any codicil he might make should be held part of his trust-deed. He executed such a codicil, and by it revoked the bequeathments to his half-sisters Isobel and Margaret, and changed the destination of his niece Barbara's legacy. The codicil went on to say :- "I confirm the bequests in the will to my half-brothers James and Archibald, with the addition that if the said James shall survive myself and spouse he shall be considered my residuary legatee not only of bank shares but of all other property; also, that if the surplus of my personal property after paying all other legacies shall exceed Twelve thousand pounds sterling, he shall pay to his brother Archibald or his heirs such amount as, added to the assumed valuation of Blackford, &c. (if unsold by me), shall make his share up to Six thousand pounds. But if the aforesaid surplus do not exceed Twelve thousand pounds, then its amount shall be added to the assumed value of Blackford, &c., and the aggregate sum divided into five parts, three of which shall fall to James, and two to Archibald. In any case Blackford, &c., if unsold, is to be part of the portion of the latter at the assumed value of Two thousand seven hundred pounds sterling. I further authorize my wife Helen, if she survive me, to alienate by gift, or bequeath by will, any portion or portions of my personal or moveable property of which she is to enjoy the use or income, not exceeding in all Three thousand pounds sterling, and she may include plate, furniture, &c., at a valuation, but it shall be optional with my surviving executors or executor to pay cash instead."

The truster died on 20th September 1847, survived by his widow. James Ogilvie predeceased her on 21st July 1865, leaving six children; and on 24th March 1866 she executed an assignation by which, on the narrative of her desire to fulfil what her husband intended, she conveyed to trustees the whole residue provided to her under her husband's trust-disposition, directing them, after payment of her debts, &c., and her husband's legacy of £6000