

74, 1935

J.R.

In the Privy Council.

No. 69 of 1934.

ON APPEAL  
FROM THE ROYAL COURT OF THE ISLAND OF JERSEY

BETWEEN

CHARLES WILLIAM GILBERT, Attorney of George  
James Gilbert . . . . . (Plaintiff) Appellant

AND

FRANCIS HENRY CHING, Attorney of John James  
Ching, principal heir of George Edward Ching,  
his cousin . . . . . (Defendant) Respondent

- CASE FOR THE APPELLANT.
- APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S CASE.
- CASE FOR THE RESPONDENT.
- RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.

BLAKE & REDDEN,  
17, Victoria Street,  
S.W.1,  
for the Appellant.

BENNETT, FERRIS & BENNETT,  
68, Coleman Street,  
E.C.2,  
for the Respondent.

14 October 1935

don't Almas

for aff

for Rep

Manlyha

C.T. de Gama

H. Vaisey

Roche

H.W. Gilbert

Eric Sachs  
Richardson

10.45 de Gama

Cow d. hertley  
Cow d. Smeadi

Rep sq be a not added to of she - only  
George's land by was with a 1888 in Melbourne  
was 1907. By with terms which was  
paper to be for - Elizabeth Pickett-Hersey

1909 Sept with proof to Hersey

1909 Oct with rights

1910 Sept Rep. brought case to court with  
proof that only and at the left to Caroline  
Rep has "principal heir" + the sq for

1912 Nov. proceedings settled a two assets to

by the Court. deed drawn up in Dec 1912  
purpose to give to the child a principal heir  
and his right - very odd

1928. Gilbert became aware of his rights + neglected  
them by 1929 Gilbert brought a case

Aug 1930. Prof's writes to Govt & Justice. Govt set for  
panel

1931 July Govt decides Jellat was to be changed  
himself to be  
Rep part: <sup>2 panel parts</sup> no success at all.

Jan 1932 Superior member had Rep right - all 3 parts.  
Aug 1932 Superior member had superior no right  
part 2 for his being a party to solution

Oct 1932. amended party a new act  
Rep took his part. being Govt  
Superior member says  
best of 14.

Oct 28: only applies when man is man of  
Jellat is a device  
(1.30 - 2.10).

To file with 28 must be right of a balance  
success to Sub but to control  
Rep

1. Jellat a party to the
2. Jellat a success to the
3. Oct 28 not used at all but  
heavily success class. party who  
had Jellat is a device  
Jellat is a device to be.  
1. Oct 28.

1906 2 QB 119

3 Moo NS 338-9

(2.) Thurs 15 October 1935

10.30 Lecture about

West Suffolk CC v 1918 2 KR 269

re Folkestone 20 QB 665 7663.

the matter assigned to the Div by the  
the jurisdiction

12.20 Court closed

2.33. draft with husband before panel

to discuss from 3 1 Rps Co

But only the app. counsel  
Tribunal in an such material

Middle v Middle

1922 1 A.C. 284

Stewart v

29 Ch 268

at 302

1.30. Inquest field  
affid why

2.8 HB Kaye KC

1. Jurisdiction ... good point re - let the law
2. party should not be called  
at a. hours.

ko oho a. his sypnd Thal chij asantake

Article 28      ashes      booked      valuable      value  
present action.

1898 AC 386

3.21. Eric Sachs. - why to est  
de quere

3.40. CAV.